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#### Abstract

Two new eremophilane sesquiterpenes, $3 \beta$-angeloyloxy-8-oxo-eremophil-6(7)-en-12oic acid $\mathbf{1}$ and $3 \beta$-angeloyloxy-10 $\beta$-hydroxy-8-oxo-eremophil-6 (7)-en-12-oic acid 2 , and a novel nor-eremophilane derivative, $3 \beta$-angeloyloxy- $10 \beta$-hydroxy-8-oxo-eremophil-6(7)-en $\mathbf{3}$ were isolated from the roots of Cacalia ainsliaeflora. Their structures were elucidated by spectroscopic methods, including 2D NMR.
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In a previous study, we reported five eremophilane sesquiterpenes from Cacalia ainsliaeflora ${ }^{1}$. In continuation of our investigation on sesquiterponoids from this plant, here we describe the structural elucidation of two new eremophilane sesquiterpenes and a novel nor-eremophilane derivative.
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Compound 1, colorless gum; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+10.8\left(c \quad 0.55, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The IR spectrum indicated the presence of a typical $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\left(1675 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and carboxyl group (1710, $1736 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The molecular formula, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, was determined by HRESIMS $m / z 349.2013\left([\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}\right.$, calcd. 349.2010). The NMR data of $\mathbf{1}$ were similar to those reported in the literature ${ }^{2}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT-NMR (Table 1) indicated the presence of three methyl groups characterized of an eremophilenolide [ $\delta$ $1.34(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1, \mathrm{H}-13), \delta 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-14), \delta 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H} J=7.0, \mathrm{H}-15)$ ], an angeloyl group and an olefin [ $\delta 6.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}-6), \delta 154.6(\mathrm{C}-6)]$, an oxygen-bearing methine $[\delta$ $4.90(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3), \delta 73.0(\mathrm{C}-3)]$ and a carbonyl group [ $\delta 197.9$ (C-8)]. The signal of H-9 was double doublets [ $\delta 2.38(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=17.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-9 \alpha), \delta 2.64$

[^0]Table $1{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}(100 \mathrm{MHz})$ and DEPT data of $\mathbf{1 - 3}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(\delta \mathrm{ppm}, J \mathrm{~Hz})$

| H | $1 \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ | $2 \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ | $3 \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.10 m | 2.38 m | 2.24 m |
|  | 1.95 m | 2.12 m | 2.00 m |
| 2 | 1.73 m | 1.85 m | 1.83 m |
|  | 1.25 m | 1.71 m | 1.68 m |
| 3 | 4.90 ddd (6.0, 3.9, 3.9) | 4.96 ddd ( $5.4,3.0,3.0$ ) | 4.98 ddd (5.4, 3.3, 3.1) |
| 4 | 1.53 dq | 1.65 dq | 1.60 dq |
| 6 | 6.63 brs | 6.59 brs | 6.66 d (2.1) |
| 9 | $2.64 \mathrm{dd}(17.5,4.8)$ | 2.85 d (16.5) | 2.90 d (16.7) |
|  | $2.38 \mathrm{dd}(17.5,4.8)$ | 2.51 d (16.5) | 2.55 d (16.7) |
| 10 | 2.10 m |  |  |
| 11 | 3.58 brq (7.2) | 3.57 brq (7.0) | 2.34 s |
| 12 |  |  | 1.24 s |
| 13 | 1.34 d (7.1) | $1.25 \mathrm{~d}(7.0)$ | $1.03 \mathrm{~d}(7.2)$ |
| 14 | 1.24 s | 1.32 s |  |
| 15 | 1.00 d (7.0) | $1.14 \mathrm{~d}(7.0)$ |  |
|  | 6.09 brq (7.2) | $6.08 \mathrm{brq}(7.1)$ | $6.09 \mathrm{brq}(7.0)$ |
| OAng | $1.99 \mathrm{dq}(7.2,1.2)$ | 1.95 brd (7.1) | $2.03 \mathrm{dq}(7.0,1.5)$ |
|  | 1.90 brs | 1.89 brs | 1.94 brd (1.4) |
| C | $1 \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ | $2 \delta_{\text {C }}$ | $3 \delta_{\text {C }}$ |
| 1 | 24.6 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | $25.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | $27.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ |
| 2 | $25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | $33.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | $30.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ |
| 3 | 73.0 (CH) | 73.6 (CH) | 72.0 (CH) |
| 4 | 41.2 (CH) | 43.3 (CH) | 41.8 (CH) |
| 5 | 39.9 (C) | 45.2 (C) | 55.1 (C) |
| 6 | 154.6 (CH) | 154.2 (CH) | 152.5 (CH) |
| 7 | 136.6 (C) | 137.1 (C) | 141.5 (C) |
| 8 | 197.9 (C) | 197.1 (C) | 197.3 (C) |
| 9 | $39.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | 48.6 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | $41.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ |
| 10 | 36.2 (CH) | 74.5 (C) | 81.3 (C) |
| 11 | 38.6 (CH) | 38.2 (CH) | $8.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 12 | 178.4 (C) | 175.6 (C) | $14.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 13 | 15.8 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | $16.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | $13.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
| 14 | $24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | $18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |  |
| 15 | $8.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | $11.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |  |
|  | 167.3 (C) | 167.4 (C) | 167.7 (C) |
|  | 138.0 (CH) | 138.0 (CH) | 138.6 (CH) |
| OAng | 128.0 (C) | 129.0 (C) | 127.7 (C) |
|  | $20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | $20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | $20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |
|  | $15.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | $15.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ | $15.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ |

(dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.5,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-9 \beta$ )] due to the coupling $\mathrm{J}_{9 \alpha .9 \beta}$ and $\mathrm{J}_{9.10}$. These spectral data agreed with the proposed structure 1. The localization of the angeloyloxy moiety at the $\mathrm{C}-3$ position was deduced from the HMBC spectrum in which $\mathrm{H}-3$ gave a long-range coupling with $\mathrm{C}_{1^{\prime}}(\delta 167.3)$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$ gave a long-range coupling with $\mathrm{H}-15$. The long-range coupling between C-12 and $\mathrm{H}-13, \mathrm{H}-11(\delta 3.58 \mathrm{brq}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) indicated that a carboxyl group was at $\mathrm{C}-11$ position. The coupling pattern observed for $\mathrm{H}-3$ at $\delta$ 4.90 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.0,3.9,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) implied that the angeloyl group at $\mathrm{C}-3$ was $\beta$-equatorial ${ }^{2,3}$, and this was supported by the NOESY cross peak between H-3 and H-4 $\alpha$.

The NOESY cross-peak between H-4 and H-9 $\alpha$ ( $\delta 2.38$, dd, 1 H ), $\mathrm{H}-10$ and H-14, H-6 and $\mathrm{H}-3 \alpha$ further confirmed an $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ cis-fused eremophilane. Therefore compound $\mathbf{1}$ was determined as $3 \beta$-angeloyloxy -8-oxo- eremophil-6 (7)-en-12-oic acid.

Compound 2, colorless gum, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+33.8\left(c 0.68, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The molecular formula, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6}$, was deduced by HRESIMS $m / z 382.2228\left(\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}\right.$, calcd. 382.2224). The NMR (Table 1) and IR data of $\mathbf{2}$ were similar to those of $\mathbf{1}$ except for a hydroxy-bearing quaternary carbon ( $\delta 74.5$ ) in $\mathbf{2}$ instead of a methine ( $\delta 36.2, \mathrm{CH}$ ) in $\mathbf{1}$. The downfield shift of the H-14 methyl singlet ( $\delta 1.32$ ) and an oxygen-bearing quaternary carbon ( $\delta$ 74.5) obviously required an $\beta$-orientated hydroxyl at $\mathrm{C}-10^{4}$. Therefore, the structure of 2 was determined to be $3 \beta$-angeloyloxy-10 $\beta$-hydroxy-8-oxo-eremophil-6 (7)-en-12-oic acid.

Compound 3, a colorless gum, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+80\left(\mathrm{c} 0.20, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. The molecular formula, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, was determined by HRESIMS $m / z 329.1709[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$(calcd. 329.1723 ), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT NMR. The NMR data of $\mathbf{3}$ were similar to those of $\mathbf{2}$ except for the signals of $\mathrm{H}-11, \mathrm{C}-11$ and $\mathrm{C}-12$ were missing and the presence of a methyl singlet at $\delta$ 2.34 in $\mathbf{3}$ instead of the methyl doublet at $\delta 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ in $\mathbf{2}$. These data suggested that the methyl ( $\delta 2.34$ ) was located at $\mathrm{C}-7$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY and HMBC experiments supported the structure of $\mathbf{3}$.
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